## To: President John X. Jamrich

From: The Human Rights Commission

## Date: December 16, 1969

The Ad lloc Committee of the Human Rights Commission has spe nt the entire day today investigating the matter concerning the charge, trial, and verdict in the Charles Ciriffis case handed down by the All-University :itudent Judiciary, and the Commission concurs in the following recommendations made by the Ad floc Committee.

There is insufficient evidence to estahlish the accused's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. He also failed to receive due process of law. The whole matter was handled inconsistently with due process. We feel the student was judged mainly on how much punishment he should reecive, not if he was guilty. We would like to initiat a recommendation that this matter be declared a nullity for the following reasons:

1) The investigation in the first instance by the Resident Assistant was based upon an alleged anonymous phone call. Based on that report the Resident Assistant went int, the roonf and did not have a warrant to search the roim. While initially he may have gone in and simply looked, therc was a second entry into the room when it was searched (it is well-established and has been confirmed by Mr. Sheldon Siegel, an attorney in the School of Business. that if anything is moved, such, action constitutes a srarch).
2) The Ad lloc Commitue can find no proof of the positive ddentification of the alleged untuthori\%ed oceupant.
3) There is apparent husillity towiad the aceused individual on the part of those who sat in fudgment at the trial. It was also indicated that it is not a question of whether or not there was a woman in the room but of the attitude of the accuscd. This is substantiated in the tape. This casts doubt on whether the judgment was based on the finding of guilt or dislike of an attitude.
4) There is evidence that in smmilar cases in the past the student was simply given social probation and permitted to remain in school. The verdict in this case is that Griffis be suspended for the remainder of this semester and nest semester as well. This is an extreme penalty in view of the fact that if allowed to rematin in school he would graduate
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in June. This was the student's first offense and would r quire aggravated guilt to justify such action.

We are prepared to submit a complete statement together wi: a copy of the tape of the trial documenting this entire recommendation we $\because$ shortly.
jeh
ce: All-I niversity Student Judiciary
Sudent-F゙aculty Judiciary
Dr. Allan Noemi
Dr. Lowell kafer
ir. Norman lefter


* ad la cromitu.

